America First!

Published Monthly

The American Nationalist

Fight Communist Treason!

Vol. 4, No. 44 JULY, 1956 $3.00 Annually

HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED BY 6226/mlc/clw

ALIEN JEWS PROMOTE INTEGRATION

Anti-Jewish Myth Laid To New York Times Propaganda

SPECIAL—Within the past three or four years, Zionist propagandists in this country have been particularly active in their attempts to convince Americans that there is no truth in the often-made charge that Communism is a Jewish-led movement. Admittedly it is not an easy task, for the evidence is overwhelmingly on the side of those who contend that the leaders of international Communism have been Jews from the very beginning. Nevertheless, the current Zionist propaganda line has met with considerable success not only in camouflaging the Jewishness of Communism, but in actually leading Americans to believe that Jews are victims of Communism.

To verify just how effective this propaganda has been you need only refer to the prevailing


(Cont. Page 3 Col. 1)

Northern Press Silent As Negro Mob Runs Wild

BUFFALO, N.Y.—It has long been the complaint of Southerners that the northern press plays up racial incidents in the South out of all proportion to their importance, while at the same time playing down or suppressing altogether the much worse racial conflicts which constantly occur in the North. An excellent example of this was the exaggerated emphasis the commercial press placed upon such incidents as the Emmet Till case, the Autherine Lucy affair at Tuscaloosa, and the assault on Nat King Cole in Birmingham.

In the Till case, particularly, the hysteria of the big city newspapers and slick paper magazines exceeded all bounds of reason. Literally scores of correspondents were assigned to the story, and for weeks on end the Till case was headline news. And it was all news calculated to give Southern whites a black eye; every line of it was blatantly pro-Negro and frenziedly anti-white. Without exception the white principals of the

(Cont. on Page 2 Col. 1)



Eisenhower Sings For The N.A.A.C.P.

Shown above is Ike Eisenhower as he sang the Star Spangled Banner from the platform of the NAACP on March 10th, 1954. The occasion was an NAACP "Freedom Fulfillment Convention," convened for the purpose of launching a ten year, ten million dollar "integration" program under the slogan of "Free by Sixty-Three." Eisenhower as the featured speaker promised the convention his wholehearted cooperation in achieving full "equality" for Negroes. Given below is Eisenhower's shameful record of collaboration with the NAACP since that date. NOTE: Standing next to Eisenhower in the above picture is the NAACP's board chairman, Channing Tobias, a member of more than 50 Communist front organizations. Next to him is the NAACP's late Walter White, also an ardent red-fronter. Not shown is the Jewish mastermind and president of the NAACP, Arthur Spingarn, who has run the organization since 1939.

Eisenhower Spearheads Racial Revolution With Aid Of NAACP

WASHINGTON, D.C.—In a slavish effort to win back the big city Negro vote from the Democratic party, which has held it in firm control since the hey-day of the Roosevelt New Deal, the New Deal wing of the Republican party is again touting Eisenhower as the Negro's "best friend," and even as a "second Lincoln." Although the same appeal was used with little apparent success in the 1954 congressional elections, the White House claque seems to feel that Eisenhower's fatuous pro-Negro record during his first term in office is one which bloc-voting northern Negroes cannot fail to recognize. And although any serious comparison between Lincoln and Eisenhower is a patent absurdity, the fact remains that no president in history can even approach, much less match, Eisenhower's record as an ardent Negrophile. Furthermore, since Eisenhower seems intent on flaunting that record, it seems proper and fitting in this election year that we cite the Eisenhower performance in full so that the entire electorate, white as well as colored, may judge him accordingly.

The facts show that Eisenhower has not only worked hand in glove with the NAACP and other radical race-mixing organizations since his inauguration, but that he has in reality spark-plugged the present controversy over "integration." Ike has actually spearheaded the nation wide campaign to force compulsory mongrelization upon the American people, and he has done it knowingly and with calculated intent.

The Eisenhower program to implement the race-mixing policies of the NAACP logically falls into five areas of activity. The first of these, chronologically speaking, was the "integration" of this nation's military forces—a procedure surreptitiously introduced by Harry Truman in 1948, and put into full force by Eisenhower in 1954. By this maneuver millions of American servicemen were, and still are, compelled to eat, sleep,

(Cont. Page 4 Col. 1)

Jews Joined With NAACP To Obtain Integration Rule

WASHINGTON, D.C.—Ask any Southerner these days and he will tell you that it was the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People (NAACP) which obtained the infamous Supreme Court decision of May 17, 1954 which "outlawed" segregated schools. But ask him about the role organized Jewry played in obtaining that decision and you are pretty likely to draw a blank. This is because not one American in a thousand has the slightest conception of the events which led up to the Court's de-segregation decision, nor of the sinister, secretive groups who conspired to obtain that decision.

Incredible as it may seem, no newspaper, no national magazine and no radio or television commentator has revealed the incredible circumstances surrounding this most controversial of all Supreme Court decisions. For that reason the American public remains to this day totally ignorant of the fact that organized Jewry, and organized Jewry alone, financed, agitated for, and finally pushed through the Supreme Court the disgraceful "integration" decree which has driven the South into near rebellion.

To appreciate the full extent of this Jewish role it is necessary to have some knowledge of the technical aspects of the so-called "Black Monday" school segregation case. Legally, the principals in the case were three Negro complainants. Strictly speaking the NAACP was not a party to the case at all, except in the capacity of legal counsel. In actual point of fact, however, the Negro appellants were merely stooges of the NAACP, and to all intents and purposes the NAACP was, and is, regarded as the chief litigent in the case. This much is generally known to those who have taken an active interest in the Supreme Court's decision.

But what the public does not know is that ten other organizations also participated in the school segregation decision. Inves-

(Cont. Page 2 Col. 1)

LET'S DRAFT SENATOR KNOWLAND FOR PRESIDENT

ENCLOSURE

Northern Press Remains Silent As Negro Race Riot Injures Ten

(Continued from Page 1)


Till case were maligned and singled out for contempt—and without exception the Negro principals were lionized and glorified.

The 160 pound Emmet Till, for example, was depicted as a "child" who had been killed by Southern whites for daring to "wolf-whistle" at a white woman. Testimony showing that he had made forceful and obscene advances to his victim were ridiculed or not reported at all. Young Till was tearfully eulogized by LIFE magazine ("**Sleep well, Emmet Till: you will be avenged**") and described as the orphaned son of a "**. . . soldier-father who was killed in France fighting for the American proposition that all men are equal.**" But when evidence was released by Senator Eastland showing that Till's father had actually been hanged by the Army for the rape-murder of a white woman in Italy during World War II, neither LIFE nor any other northern publication reported it.

The iron-clad rule of the northern press, in other words, is to report on racial conflicts only when whites are the aggressors. News which reflects on Negroes is simply not given out. Thus, when police officers had to be assigned to patrol the halls of some of Washington, D.C.'s newly "integrated" schools to protect white students from knife-wielding Negro "classmates," nothing was said in the press. A similar curtain of silence has stifled news of numerous other racial incidents in the North—although the slightest outbreak of anti-Negro violence in the South is instantly headlined.

In the Great Lakes region, for instance, a serious race riot occurred last month in which at least 10 were injured. The rioting, which lasted intermittently throughout an entire day and evening, broke out when knife-wielding Negroes from Buffalo, N.Y. began attacking white vacationers at Crystal Beach, Ontario. The riot continued into the night as roving gangs of Negro youths turned the excursion steamer, Canadiana, into a terror craft on the return to Buffalo.

But of this the public heard little. Many newspapers did not carry the story at all. The few that did mentioned it only briefly and in ambiguous language. LIFE magazine described it as a "teen-age fracus." No publication carried photographs of the actual rioting—although it is not difficult to imagine the coverage the story would have received if the rioters had been white Southerners and the victims Negroes . . .



Northern Race Riot: Product Of Integration

The above photo, which illustrates some of the blessings of "integration" in the North, probably did not appear in your newspaper. It shows part of the mob of rioting, knife-wielding Negroes who staged an all day race riot at the Great Lakes resort town of Crystal Beach, and later on the excursion steamer, Canadiana. On the boat, according to one Associated Press report, "all hell broke loose as roving groups of Negroes calmly attacked the outnumbered whites. Girls were beaten mercilessly and youths who attempted to defend them were slugged and kicked without feeling" . . .

This, then, is the type of distortion and censorship which characterizes much of the nation's press today. It is important that Americans should be alerted to the existence of this censorship—and its purpose—if we are to survive as a free people.

Jew Organizations Push School De-Segregation

(Cont. from Page 1)

tigation shows that 15 individuals are listed in the official Supreme Court record as signers of amicus curiae briefs (i.e. as "friends of the court") in the case. These 15 individual signers represented 10 organizations, and altogether they submitted five briefs. Four of these were presented separately, while the fifth brief was jointly signed by representatives of six organizations.

It is significant to note that of the 10 organizations participating in the case, six were either Jewish or else represented in the proceeding by Jews. Furthermore, of the 15 individuals who signed the briefs, at least nine are Jewish—and the figure would undoubtedly run higher if the racial identity of the other six could be ascertained. Equally significant is the fact that six of the ten organizations involved are full time, professional propaganda agencies who collectively spend several million dollars annually in the promotion of "integration" and other racist activities.

Here are the individuals whose names appear in the Supreme Court's de-segregation decision of May 17, 1954 as signers of amicus curiae briefs. Each is identified by race where such information is known.

*Will Maslow (Jew), Shad Polier (Jew) and Joseph Robison (Jew) signed for the AMERICAN JEWISH CONGRESS in a separate brief.

*Arthur G. Hays (Jew) and Leonard Haas (Jew) signed for the AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION (ACLU). Hays, incidentally, was general counsel for the ACLU from 1922 to 1954. Haas is an Atlanta Jew.

*Also signing the ACLU brief were the following:

*Edwin Lukas (Jew), signed for the AMERICAN JEWISH COMMITTEE.



Goldberg . . . for the C.I.O. | Forster . . . for B'nai B'rith | Maslow . . . for the American Jewish Congress | Hays . . . for the A.C.L.U.

These are some of the more prominent Jews who participated in the Supreme Court school segregation case of May 17, 1954. On the left is Goldberg, who represented the C.I.O. Next to him is Arnold Forster (Fastenberg), representing the Anti-Defamation League of B'nai B'rith. Next is Will Maslow, who submitted a brief for the American Jewish Congress. On the extreme right is Arthur Garfield Hays, who despite his name is also Jewish. He represented the American Civil Liberties Union. These are the Jews who mastermined the Supreme Court's infamous school de-segregation decision . . . NOTE: one of the above named organizations, the Anti-Defamation League, alone spends over $5 million annually on racial agitation and propaganda.

*Arnold Forster (Jew), signed for the ANTI-DEFAMATION LEAGUE OF B'NAI B'RITH.

*Frank E. Karelson (Jew), signed for the AMERICAN ETHICAL UNION, a professional race-mixing group.

*Saburo Kido (Japanese), signed for the JAPANESE AMERICAN CITIZEN'S LEAGUE.

*Theodore Leskes (race unknown), signed for the UNITARIAN FELLOWSHIP FOR SOCIAL ACTION.

In addition:

*John Ligtenberg and Selma Borchardt (race unknown), signed a separate brief for the AMERICAN FEDERATION OF TEACHERS.

*Arthur J. Goldberg (Jew) and T. E. Harris (race unknown), signed a separate brief for the C.I.O. Goldberg, incidentally, is the C.I.O.'s general counsel.

*Phineas Indritz (race unknown), signed a separate brief for the AMERICAN VETERAN COMMITTEE.

Here, conclusively, is positive proof that a majority of the groups and individuals who participated as "friends of the court" in the Supreme Court's school segregation case of May 17, 1954 were Jewish. Furthermore, the groups represented include the four most powerful Jewish organizations in the country; they are not small or unrepresentative bodies.



MARSHALL SPINGARN

Fronting for the NAACP in the Supreme Court was the Negro lawyer, Thurgood Marshall. But masterminding the operation was the NAACP's president, Arthur Spingarn, a New York Jew . . .

Finally, there is one other factor to be taken into account, and this is the NAACP itself. For the truth of the matter is that the NAACP is also a Jewish controlled organization. For proof of this we need only cite the fact that the sinister mastermind of the NAACP is the New York Jew, Arthur Spingarn, who has been its president since 1939 . . .

***CONCLUSION:** No one in possession of these facts can logically or reasonably deny that the Supreme Court's infamous school de-segregation decision of May 17, 1954 was anything but a product of Jewish planning, of Jewish agitation and of Jewish execution. It was a Jewish show from beginning to end; no bona fide Negro organization had any part in it. The record shows that of the 15 individuals signing briefs in the case, not less than nine were Jews. Of the ten organizations represented, six are Jewish, or were represented in the case by Jewish counsel. And most important of all, the chief litigent in the case, the NAACP, is itself a Jewish controlled organization. One cannot help wondering where the real, honest-to-goodness, white Christian-Americans were when all this was transpiring . . .*

NOTE: The names and organizations above are given as they appear in the official U. S. Supreme Court Reports (1954).

Soviet "Anti-Semitism" Hit As Myth

(Cont. from Page 1)

public opinion in your community. The typical American, if told that Communism is a Jewish-led conspiracy, will instantly point out that Jews are being "persecuted" in Soviet Russia today. If reminded that the leaders of Communism in this country and abroad have always been preponderately Jewish, he will shake his head in mystification. To the average citizen it is utterly imcomprehensible that such a fantastic hoax could be perpetrated—and least of all can he bring himself to believe that his newspapers and other media of public information could fall for such a hoax.

Nevertheless it remains a fact that Jews retain a large measure of control over Soviet Russia, its satellites, and the U.S. Communist Party. And if, as alleged, certain Jewish groups are being "persecuted" in Soviet Russia, it is a persecution motivated by something other than "anti-Semitism"—certainly the persecution of one Jewish faction by another hardly constitutes "anti-Semitism" as it is commonly understood. Nevertheless that is the way it is being portrayed in our daily press, and that is why most Americans have been misled into believing the piteous tales now being told of Jewish sufferings at the hands of the Communists.

To demonstrate how this works, let us take an example from a recent issue of the New York Times. The Times is a Jewish owned newspaper, and although its reputation for accuracy and objectivity is undoubtedly overrated, it nevertheless enjoys considerable prestige in certain quarters. The example at hand consists of two news items which appeared side by side (page 8, sec. C) in its April 27th edition.

One story is entitled: **"Rakosi Still Has Soviet's Support,"** and is sub-titled, **"Hungarian Red Leader Gets Moscow Backing Against His Own Party Rebels."** The article goes on to describe how party boss Rakosi, for 11 years undisputed dictator of Communist Hungary, is being kept in power by Moscow despite what appears to be a revolt against his leadership within the Hungarian Communist apparatus. Although the article devotes considerable space to the Rakosi story, it nowhere reveals the fact that Hungary's bloody dictator is of Jewish origin . . .

This omission is extremely significant because in the very next column to the Rakosi story there is another feature article which purports to describe a "wave of officially inspired anti-Semitism" which supposedly "swept Czechoslovakia after the trial and execution in November, 1952, of Rudolph Slansky, former First Secretary of the Czechoslovak Communist party." Slansky, like Rakosi, was Jewish.

Thus on a single page of the New York Times we have an excellent example of how the phony "anti-Semitic" myth is propagated in this country as a means of confusing Americans as to the true identity of the Communist leadership. In one story the Jewish identity of Communist Hungary's dictator Rakosi is deliberately withheld; in the other story the fall of Czechoslovakia's Jewish dictator, Rudolph Slansky, from power is ascribed to "a wave of anti-Semitism." In this manner the New York Times manages to convey the impression that "anti-Semitism" is rampant behind the Iron Curtain—although the Times knows perfectly well that both Communist Hungary and Czechoslovakia, as well as Poland and Roumania, have had Jewish dictators during most of the period since World War II. It is a remarkable example of how propaganda can be, and is being, used to obscure and distort the news. Those who have observed the way the Times consistently twists the news concerning the segregation question in the South are already familiar with the technique . . .

Needless to say, the Times is not the only offender when it comes to doctoring the news. For example, a few days after the above mentioned stories appeared in the Times, an Associated Press dispatch (May 6th) revealed the very interesting fact that . . . **"Jacob Berman, considered by many the No. 1 man of Communist Poland, has resigned as Deputy Premier because of his 'mistakes' . . . Berman's ouster climaxed the current purge in the Polish government. Six cabinet ministers and three top justice officials have been removed from power. However, none of those removed had the stature of Berman. He was reputed to have influence far beyond that normally held by a deputy premier in eastern Europe."** So reported the Associated Press.

Significantly, however, the AP did not mention the very pertinent fact that Berman, the red dictator who has ruled Communist Poland since World War II, is Jewish—although that fact is well known to the AP staff.



THE NEW YORK TIMES, FRIDAY, APRIL 27, 1956.

RAKOSI STILL HAS SOVIET'S SUPPORT

Hungarian Red Leader Gets Moscow Backing Against His Own Party Rebels

By JOHN MacCORMAC
Special to The New York Times.

BUDAPEST, Hungary, April 25—It is a maxim that no man is indispensable But Matyas Rakosi, who has been Communist leader of Hungary for eleven years seems to be an exception to this rule in the eyes of Moscow.

Many of Mr. Rakosi's Communist party colleagues have been making it understood that in this era of anti-Stalinism the time has come for this "little Stalin" to go. But the Kremlin seems to want to keep him in his place.

Moscow deposed Vulko Chervenkov as Premier of Bulgaria ten days ago against the wishes of the Bulgarian Communist party

Associated Press
AN EXCEPTION: Matyas Rakosi, Communist boss of Hungary. Moscow appears to regard him as indispensable.

CZECHS CONCEDE PREVIOUS ERRORS

Paper Says Officials Spurred Anti-Semitism—Guilt by Association Deplored

By SYDNEY GRUSON
Special to The New York Times.

PRAGUE, April 26—Three important admissions of conditions long denied were made today by the trade union newspaper Prace. They were:

1—That a wave of officially inspired anti-Semitism swept Czechoslovakia after the trial and execution in November, 1952, of Rudolf Slansky, former First Secretary of the Czechoslovak Communist party.

2—That soldiers who fought in Western armies during World War II rather than with the Soviet Army had been persecuted.

3.—That police action after the

The clipping above, taken from a recent issue of the New York Times, illustrates perfectly the technique by which "anti-Semitic" scare stories are propagated by the kept commercial press. In the column to the right the Times ascribes the purge of the Jewish dictator of Czechoslovakia, Rudolph Slansky, to a "wave of officially inspired anti-Semitism." But in the very next column (above left) the Times runs another story describing the Jewish dictator of Hungary, Matyas Rakosi, as Moscow's "indispensable" man. The Times did not explain how a regime which consistently places Jews in positions of power could at the same time be "anti-Semitic" . . .

A few days later, in its May 21 issue, Time magazine also devoted an article to Berman's fall from grace. **"Last week,"** pontificated Time, **"Vice Premier Jakub Berman, long regarded as Moscow's No. 1 man in Poland, resigned because of his 'mistakes.' Poland, stoutly Catholic, staunchly anti-Russian, has proved a hard outpost to rule."** But Life did not reveal Berman's Jewish origin, either . . .

Apparently neither the Associated Press nor Time magazine felt up to the task of explaining just how the "anti-Semitic terror" they have been publicizing month after month could occur under a regime dominated by Jews. That, at least, is as good an explanation as any for their failure to reveal the racial identity of the Jew who has been Poland's dictator all these years . . .

Actually, of course, the failure of our so-called "free press" to give the true facts concerning the bloody Jewish rule of the Communist satellite nations has been a scandal for years. It has long been common knowledge among better informed newspaper people



SLANSKY BERMAN
Immediately after the war the Communists placed Jewish dictators over all the satellite nations. Despite this, we are supposed to believe that Jews suffer terribly under Communism . . .

that when the Communists took over Eastern Europe in the wake of World War II, they immediately proceeded to install Jewish dictators over all the major satellite countries. In Roumania the brutal Jewess, Anna Pauker, was placed in power. In Poland, Jakub Berman took over. In Czechoslovakia it was Rudolph Slansky. In Hungary the murderous butcher, Matyas Rakosi (himself a protege of a Jewish predecessor, Bela Kun) assumed power. In East Germany the frightful "Red Hilde" Benjamin (widow of a Communist Jew who died in one of Hitler's prison camps) held bloody sway as minister of justice. And so, by 1950 every major Communist satellite nation cowered under a Yiddish tyrant—and yet not a word of this ever got into our press. Instead, the public was led to believe that Jews were suffering untold agonies under Communism . . .

Since 1950 there have been, of course, many changes behind the Iron Curtain. Anna Pauker, Slansky, and now Berman, have been deposed in turn. Only Rakosi remains in power. But it is ironic to note that each time one of these high ranking Communist Jews got the ax, the hysterical cry of "anti-Semitism" went up in the American press (although Jakub Berman's case is a notable exception). Anna Pauker was alleged to be the victim of Soviet "anti-Semitism," as was Slansky. There were even charges that secret police chief Beria was a victim of "anti-Semitism," since he was half Jewish. An attempt was even made to depict Stalin as anti-Semitic, despite the rather well known fact that his third wife, Rosa, was a Jewess, while his only daughter is married to a Jew . . .

These, then, are some of the facts the American public should know about the alleged Jewish sufferings under Communism. But still unanswered is how and why our own American press is so far off base in reporting the situation. For while it is true that the major wire services, along with publications like the New York Times and the Luce magazines, know the facts and deliberately suppress them, it is utterly fantastic to charge that the entire American press could or would join in such a conspiracy of silence and misrepresentation.

Actually the whole problem can be traced to Gentile confusion over certain intricacies of the international Jewish situation—particularly as they concern the internal feuds between various factions of Jewry. What most Gentiles don't realize is that world Jewry has for more than a half century been bitterly divided into opposing factions. In one camp are the Zionists, whose main loyalty is to Israel—hence their designation as Zionists. In the opposite camp are the Communists, who came to power as leaders of the Bolshevik Revolution of 1917, and who immediately proceeded to outlaw Zionism.

Gentiles have little conception of these facts, and so when they see an Anna Pauker or a Rudolph Slansky put on trial for "Zionist activity" by a Communist court they almost always mistake it for anti-Semitism—oblivious to the fact that the prosecutors, as well as the prosecuted, are Jews. Simi-

(Cont. page 4 Col. 4)

IKE & NAACP

Cont. from Page 1)

play and take orders from Negroes for the first time since Valley Forge. One result of this criminal policy was a disastrous drop in re-enlistments; another has been a serious decline in morale (and inevitably in fighting efficiency) in our Armed Forces as swarms of Negroidal officers, non-coms and enlisted men began assuming positions of authority and privilege under the new order . . .

A second phase of the Eisenhower program to forcibly "integrate" every aspect of American society began with the creation of what the Negro press often refers to as the "Little FEPC"—otherwise known as the "President's Committee on Government Contracts." This consists of a 15-man figurehead "committee" of prominent individuals headed by vice-president Nixon, and it was created for the express purpose of compelling all U. S. business firms with government contracts to "integrate" their working forces. The actual job of running the "Little FEPC," however, was turned over to a Jewish radical by the name of Jacob Seidenberg, who serves as its executive director. Seidenberg has been given the authority to force any business firm doing work for the government (and their sub-contractors) not only to hire Negroes, but to upgrade and promote them as well. By this device Eisenhower has clamped a de facto FEPC upon a vast segment of American industry—and he has done it without the consent of Congress, or the knowledge of the American people.

Not content with imposing interracial working conditions upon private industry, Eisenhower next moved to bring the blessings of "integration" to all civil service employees. To do this he created a special five-man "fair employment committee" with authority to police the hiring policies of the entire federal civil service. Again the object was not merely to facilitate the hiring of Negroes, but to see that they receive promotions and upgradings to the satisfaction of the committee. And since the five-man committee is chairmaned by a Jew in the person of Max Abbell, while two other board members are Negroes, it is obvious that the U. S. civil service is destined to become an interracial haven in the not too distant future, thanks to Mr. Eisenhower.

On still another front Eisenhower has labored diligently to foist compulsory mongrelization upon this nation—in this case through the instrumentality of the judiciary. His most outstanding achievement in this direction was his appointment of Negro-loving Earl Warren as chief justice of the Supreme Court, and his attempted appointment (now blocked in the Senate) of the notorious Russian Jew, Simon Sobeloff, to the fourth circuit court of appeals—a district which encompasses several Southern states. Sobeloff, it will be recalled, is currently serving as Eisenhower's Solicitor-General. It was in that capacity that he made himself particularly obnoxious to Southerners by joining with the NAACP in arguing against segregated schools before the Supreme Court. By appointing individuals of this calibre to the federal judiciary, Eisenhower leaves little doubt as to his real intentions. And if there ever was any doubt, vice-president Nixon dispelled it when he recently hailed the Court's school de-segregation decision as an accomplishment of the present administration . . .

On still another sector Mr. Eisenhower has shamelessly utilized the executive department to implement the NAACP's "silent revolution." By executive decree Eisenhower has, for example, fully "integrated" not only the public schools of Washington, D.C., but all restaurants, hotels, parks and other facilities to boot. He has similarly ordered "integration" enforced at all government installations throughout the country, including ship yards, depots—and even schools situated on government reservations. Public housing is also being systematically mixed. Most sinister of all, his administration has resurrected an obscure Civil War Reconstruction law (passed in 1866) to give the justice department authority to intervene where the "civil rights" of Negroes are alleged to be involved. This maneuver, which has in effect made the FBI a cat's paw for the NAACP, is currently being used to intimidate local law enforcement agencies into giving preferential treatment to Negro criminals, who have only to raise the cry of "discrimination" to bring the FBI running.

Now the Eisenhower administration is attempting to expand its jurisdiction by asking Congress to enact a new "civil rights" law which would in effect give Brownell and the justice department the power to enforce "integration" in Southern schools and elsewhere, thereby removing the problem from the jurisdiction of local and state officials . . .

This, then, is the Eisenhower record, and these are his accomplishments. And if you have any doubt as to Eisenhower's motives, or the inspiration behind them, then take another long and careful look at the picture at the beginning of this article, which shows Eisenhower singing the Star Spangled Banner from the platform of the NAACP. It will help you understand a lot of things . . .

Press Report

WASHINGTON, D.C.—Former governor James F. Byrnes of South Carolina, the only living ex-Justice of the U. S. Supreme Court, last month issued one of the most authoritative (and one of the most scathing) attacks yet made on the Supreme Court and its school de-segregation decision of 1954. "The Court," said Mr. Byrnes, "did not interpret the Constitution—the Court amended it." Byrnes' historic denunciation, which was printed in full by the highly respected U. S. News and World Report, lambasted what he described as . . ."the demonstrated willingness of the Supreme Court to disregard our written Constitution and its decisions, invalidate the laws of the States, and substitute for these a policy of its own, supported not by legal precedents but by the writings of sociologists."

MOSCOW—The recent resignation of First-Deputy Premier Kaganovitch as chairman of the Soviet Union's "State Committee on Labor and Wages," coming as it did only eight days after Molotov's resignation as Foreign Minister, has aroused considerable speculation as to the future prospects of the pair. Both Molotov and Kaganovitch are First-Deputy Premiers of the powerful "Presidium of the Council of Ministers" which apparently has ruled Russia since the death of Stalin. The resignations could indicate Molotov and Kaganovitch are on their way out; on the other hand it may indicate the exact opposite. As the only surviving "Old Bolsheviks" on the Presidium, and because of their Jewish backgrounds (Kaganovitch is Jewish, Molotov is married to a Jewess), they must still be regarded as the two most powerful members of the Presidium which rules Russia today.

WASHINGTON, D.C.—The most recent illness of Dwight Eisenhower, coupled with the sudden resignation of Milton Eisenhower as president of Pennsylvania State University, has revived speculation that Ike may make a last minute attempt to ring brother Milton in as the Republican nominee at the forthcoming San Francisco Convention. By waiting until the last minute to announce his withdrawal from the race, Ike could prevent other presidential hopefuls such as Wm. Knowland from making an effective try for the nomination. Others believe the White House cabal will encourage Ike to run again despite his health on the theory that as long as he can breathe, they can use him—as in fact they have used him for many months now.

WASHINGTON ROUNDUP—The administration's attempt to add a 49th Oriental state to the Union was foiled for the time being when the Hawaiian statehood measure was killed in committee. Other pet White House bills calling for Federal "aid" to education, revision (emasculation) of the McCarran Immigration Act, and the proposed civil rights law all promise to bring on fireworks as the administration begins its all-out campaign to force them through a hostile Congress. The Sobeloff appointment, already stalled for more than a year, will very likely precipitate a filibuster if it reaches the Senate floor . . .

NEW YORK TIMES

(Continued from Page 3)

larly, when Zionist groups in this country complain bitterly over the suppression of Jewish "culture" (meaning Zionism) and the imprisonment of Zionist activists in Russia, most Gentiles instantly leap to the assumption that anti-Semitism is involved. The nub of the problem is that most Christians have never learned to distinguish between anti-Zionism and anti-Semitism, and this failure has led to incalculable confusion.

This, in brief, explains why our press breaks out in a rash of anti-Semitic scare stories every time a high Jew-Communist is purged from office, and it also explains why Zionist propagandists in this country have been so bitter of late in denouncing anti-Zionism in Soviet Russia. The thing for Americans to remember, however, is that the Communist apparatus is still dominated by Jews, and their opposition to Zionism is a far cry from anti-Semitism.

It is fatal for Americans to ignore these facts, for we cannot possibly deal with the Communist threat in this country unless, and until, we recognize it as a Jewish-led conspiracy. It is not only dangerous, but absurd, for our press to continue to overlook the very basic fact that the overwhelming majority of the Communist leaders convicted thus far in this country have been members of the Jewish race. It is not the function of the American press to explain away these facts, nor to obscure them. Its sole and single function is to lay the facts on the line . . .

THE AMERICAN NATIONALIST

Box 301, Inglewood, Calif.

FRANK L. BRITTON, Editor

☐ One year $3.00

Packets of the American Nationalist may be purchased at following rates: Packet of 12 for $1.00; Packet of 30 for $2.00; Packet of 100 for $5.00

NOTE: Free mailing envelopes, upon request, will be furnished with your American Nationalist orders.

Subscribe NOW! $3.00 Annually